arXiv:1412.6120v2 [astro-ph.SR] 5 Jan 2015

Characterization of the Most Luminous Star in M33: A Super Symbiotic Binary

Joanna Mikołajewska[1]

N. Copernicus Astronomical Center, Bartycka 18, PL 00–716 Warsaw, Poland

mikolaj@camk.edu.pl

Nelson Caldwell[2]

Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Michael M. Shara[3]

Department of Astrophysics, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West at 79th Street, New York, NY 10024, USA

and

Krystian Iłkiewicz[1,4]

N. Copernicus Astronomical Center, Bartycka 18, PL 00–716 Warsaw, Poland

Received _____; accepted _____

[4]Warsaw University Observatory, Al. Ujazdowskie 4, 00-478 Warszawa, Poland

ABSTRACT

We present the first spectrum of the most luminous infrared star in M33, and use it to demonstrate that the object is almost certainly a binary composed of a massive O star and a dust-enshrouded Red Hypergiant. This is the most luminous symbiotic binary ever discovered. Its radial velocity is an excellent match to that of the hydrogen gas in the disk of M33, supporting our interpretation that it is a very young and massive binary star.

Subject headings: galaxies: individual (M33) — stars: massive — binaries: symbiotic — stars: evolution

1. Introduction and Motivation

The existence of core-collapse supernovae with dust-enshrouded progenitors (Prieto et al. 2008; Thompson et al. 2009) or recent mass loss episodes (Gal-Yam et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2008) is a strong motivator to survey nearby galaxies for such objects. Understanding these luminous, dusty stars is essential to any theory of mass loss from massive stars, an important and unsolved problem in stellar evolution theory. Surveys and studies of such stars have been reported for the Large and Small Magellanic Clouds (Bonanos et al. 2009, 2010), and M33, NGC 300, M81 and NGC 6946 (Thompson et al. 2009; Khan et al. 2010). The Thompson et al. (2009) and Khan et al. (2010) studies demonstrated that the extremely red (optically thick even at 3.6 μm) progenitors of SN2008S and a very luminous NGC 300 transient are very rare, with only of order ~ 1 existing at any instant in a galaxy. This implies that these objects are extremely short lived phases in the lives of some massive stars.

Khan et al. (2011) recently identified and studied the most luminous mid-infrared source in M33 (which they named "Object X"). Khan et al. measured i band variability of Object X of up to 0.4 magnitudes on a timescale of one year. They deduced that "The correlated short-term variability of 0.4 mag (fractional variability of $\sim$45 %) definitively indicates that it is a single stellar object rather than multiple objects blended together." We note that this amplitude of variability does not preclude Object X from being a binary, and we will show this to be the case below.

Using multiple surveys' photometry from the U band to 24 μm, Khan et al. (2011) considered several models for the star, and suggested that a cool hypergiant star, emitting $\sim 10^{5.6} L_{\odot}$, best matched the available data. They also predicted that the star might be emerging from the cocoon of dust in which it is embedded, leading to a future brightening in near-IR and possibly even visible bands. The most challenging observation for the Khan

et al model is the presence of strong Hα emission in Object X, evident in comparisons of narrowband and broadband images of the star from the Local Group Galaxy Survey (Massey et al. 2006).

Khan et al. (2011) encouraged further study of this extremely luminous star, motivating us to acquire its optical spectrum. As we describe below, the spectrum suggests that Object X is a massive, luminous binary with characteristics reminiscent of Symbiotic Stars (SySt). In Sect. 2 we describe the data and their reductions. We demonstrate that Object X must be a binary and characterize the underlying stars in Sect. 3. A brief summary of our results is found in Sect. 4.

2. Observations and Data Reduction

The spectra of Object X were obtained with the Hectospec multi-fiber positioner and spectrograph on the 6.5m MMT telescope (Fabricant et al. 2005), as described in Caldwell et al. (2009). The Hectospec 270 gpm grating was used and provided spectral coverage from roughly $3700 - 9200$Å at a resolution of ~ 5Å. The observations were carried out on the nights of 22 and 23 September 2014. We used the data reduction methodology described by Caldwell et al. (2009). De-biasing and flat fielding of each frame were followed by extraction of spectra and wavelength calibration. "Blank sky" fibers spectra from the same exposure were averaged to enable sky subtraction. The instrument response and flux calibration were enabled via the spectra of standard stars taken over the course of our observing run. Relative line flux ratios accuracy is ensured by application of careful relative flux correction from the standard stars. The total exposure time was 1800 s.

Archival images of Object X were retrieved from the Local Group Galaxies Survey (LGGS, Massey et al. 2006, 2007), and from the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT)

archives. We repeated the measurements of Object X on the LGGS images that have been done by Khan et al. (2011). Following their method we used DAOPHOT/ALLSTAR (Stetson 1992) for photometry and bright sources in the LGGS catalog for calibration. In the case of the frame in the Halpha filter the emission from the HII region introduced a nonlinear background near Object X. This background introduced significant non-repeatability of the measured brightness of Object X as we varied the DAOPHOT parameters used in Point Spread Function (PSF) fitting. To overcome this we fitted a low-order function to the nearby background in order to remove it. The same procedure was carried out for comparison stars. As a result we obtained a reasonably good fit of the PSF and a brightness that depended only weakly on the DAOPHOT parameters.

We supplemented photometry of LGGS images with observation carried out with the CFH12K camera (Cuillandre et al. 2000) on the CFHT 3.6m telescope located located at the summit of Mauna Kea, Hawaii. The method was identical to that used for the LGGS images. The standard stars were chosen from the bright sources in the LGGS catalog that do not appear in the Hartman et al. (2006) list of variable sources.

New images of Object X were taken with the Tenagra II $32''$ telescope in Arizona. The telescope is instrumented with a SITe-based CCD camera with a resolution of $\sim 0.87''$ per pixel. Two 300s observations through the I filter were carried out on 29 October 2014. The data reduction was performed using standard IRAF procedures. In these observations the TENAGRA PSF was highly undersampled, and the relatively low S/N in the images caused the background close to Object X to be dominated by instrument noise. Because of this we carried out aperture photometry of Object X and nearby standard stars using DAOPHOT. We used standard stars whose brightnesses we measured in the LGGS image.

The optical magnitude of Object X was also estimated by multipling the spectrum with the V filter function of Bessell (1990) and adding the constant, which was calculated

as the average offset between the convolution of the spectrum with the V filter and the V magnitudes measured on the LGGS frame for several Hα-bright stars observed simultaneously with Object X during our September observing run.

3. Discussion

3.1. Variability

Khan et al. (2011) suggested that Object X may conceivably emerge from its self-obscured state and brighten over the next few decades. We find no sign of this, as evidenced by our recent I-band image (Figure 1). In particular, $I = 20.4 \pm 0.6$ for Object X derived from this 2014 image when compared to $I = 19.99 \pm 0.02$ reported by Khan et al. (2011) indicates that the source is definitely not brightening.

Similarly, we detected Object X at $V = 22.88 \pm 0.05$ in the CFHK12 image taken on 1999 Oct 30, 2 yrs before the LGGS observation, and on two other CFHK12 images at $V = 22.72 \pm 0.07$ (2001 Feb 18) and $V = 22.70 \pm 0.06$ (2001 Oct 15). These magnitudes are in very good agreement with our measurements of $V = 22.86 \pm 0.10$ derived from the LGGS image, and $V = 22.8 \pm 0.2$ estimated from our spectrum. The difference between our LGGS V magnitude and $V = 23.15 \pm 0.13$ measured by Khan et al. (2011) most probably originates in our very careful subtraction of the background, which is highly variable in that region due to the nearby HII region. We conclude that the optical brightness of the Object X has remained stable at $V = 22.8 \pm 0.1$ mag over at least the last 15 years. Similarly, the Hα magnitude measured on the LGGS image, $m(\mathrm{H}\alpha) = 20.4 \pm 0.2$ reasonably agrees with the value of about 20.7 estimated from the spectrum (described in the next subsection) using the mean flux in a 50 Å interval centered on Hα. It remains to be seen whether Khan et al.'s prediction of a significant brightening of Object X will, in fact, occur in the coming

decades.

3.2. The Hot Star and the Ionised Region

The optical spectrum of Object X observed in September 2014, and normalized to $V = 22.8$ is shown in Figure 2, and the emission line fluxes and other measurements from that spectrum are listed in Table 1.

The outstanding feature of this spectrum is the presence of strong, high ionization potential (IP) emission lines, most notably [O III] 5007. The inescapable conclusion is that *Object X has a hot, luminous component*, in addition to the cool, infrared-bright component discussed by Khan et al. (2011).

The forbidden [O II],[O III],[N II], and[S II] to Balmer emission line ratios are consistent with a photoionized H II region rather than a shock-excited region (Canto 1981) or diffuse interstellar gas (DIG; e.g. Hoopes & Walterbos 2003). The average radial velocity from 14 unblended emission lines listed in Table 1 is $-113 \pm 5\,\mathrm{km\,s^{-1}}$, and there is no trend in the velocity nor in the line widths with the IP (as expected for an H II region) which means that the lines do not originate in the hot star wind (not surprising given the line widths – the FWHMs correspond to the instrumental value).

The Balmer line ratios, Hα:Hβ:Hγ=6.63:1:0.30, are consistent with case B recombination and a reddening $E_{\mathrm{B-V}}$=0.92±0.02, adopting the mean extinction law of Cardelli et al. (1989), and $R_{\mathrm{V}} = A(V)/E(B-V) = 2.5$ similar to that in the Large Magellanic Cloud (Misselt et al. 1999).

Mürset & Nussbaumer (1994) demonstrated that the temperatures T_{h} of the hot components in symbiotic binary stars can be estimated from a simple formula, $T_{\mathrm{h}}[1000\,\mathrm{K}] = \chi_{\mathrm{max}}[\mathrm{eV}]$, where χ_{max} is the highest observed ionization potential. The

Table 1: Emission line fluxes of Object X in M33

$\lambda_{\rm obs}$	ID	$100\,F(\lambda)/F(\mathrm{H}\beta)$
3726.9	[O II] 3728[2]	225
4338.9	H_γ	30
4859.5	H_β	100
4956.7	[O III] 4958.9	56
5004.8	[O III] 5006.8	165
5873.1	He I 5875.7	24
6546.0	[N II] 6548.1	27
6560.2	H_α	663
6580.8	[N II] 6583.5	99
6672.8	He I 6678.2	13
6714.0	[S II] 6716.4	65
6728.1	[S II] 6730.8	50
7062.0	He I 7065.2	7
7134.0	[Ar III] 7135.8	26
7316.9	[O II] 7320[3]	19
7327.1	[O II] 7330[3]	18
9065.8	[S III] 9068.6	149
$\log F(\mathrm{H}\beta)$[1]		-16.25
$v_{\rm r}$		$-113 \pm 5\,\mathrm{km\,s^{-1}}$

[1]in units of $\mathrm{erg\,s^{-1}\,cm^{-2}}$

[2]blend of 2 components

[3]blend of 3 components

accuracy of their method is about ±10 %. The highest ionization stage observed in our object is that of O^{+2}, which suggests a lower limit to the ionization temperature $T_{\rm h}$ of 35 000 K. Kaler (1978) found that in low-excitation optically thick nebulae the [O III]/Hβ intensity ratio correlates well enough with the temperature of the hot ionizing star, $T_{\rm h}$, to be used alone as a good indicator of the calculated blackbody temperature. In particular, for nebulae for which the He II 4686 line is weak or absent, and for which $T_{\rm h}$ is no more than about 68 000 K, $\log T_{\rm h} = 4.426 + 4.827 \times 10^{-4} F(5007/{\rm H}\beta) - 1.374 \times 10^{-7} F^2(5007/{\rm H}\beta)$. The relative emission line flux for our object, F(5007/$H\beta$)=159 yields $T_{\rm h}$ = 31200 K, which corresponds to a B0 star, providing an independent lower limit for the hot component temperature.

On the other hand, the presence of He I emission lines indicates the presence of a star of spectral type O7 or hotter spectrum. Assuming that case B recombination applies for both the Balmer and He I emission lines, the de-reddened $F({\rm He}i5876)/F({\rm H}\beta)$=0.18 requires a blackbody temperature of the ionizing source of 45 000 K, which provides an upper limit for $T_{\rm h}$.

In Fig. 2 we also show the spectrum de-reddened with $E_{\rm B-V} = 0.92$. Superposed on this dereddened spectrum are Kurucz (1979) model atmosphere spectra with $T_{\rm eff}$ = 35 000 K, and 45 000 K, respectively, and scaled to $V = 22.8$. This clearly demonstrates that the optical continuum is dominated by the hot O/B star with the reddening practically identical with that derived from the Balmer decrement. We thus conclude that this hot star is responsible for the ionization of the HII region coinciding with Object X.

Our average $V = 22.8$, $A_{\rm V} = 2.3$ combined with the true distance modulus $m - M = 24.62$ (Gieren et al. 2013) results in the absolute magnitude of the hot star $M_{\rm V} = -4.2 \pm 0.5$ (where the error includes the uncertainties in the reddening, V mag and distance estimates). Adopting the bolometric correction, $BC \sim -3.4 \div -4.1$ (Kurucz

1979), corresponding to the $T_{\rm h}$ range indicated by the emission line fluxes, the bolometric magnitude of the hot star is then $M_{\rm bol} \sim -7.6 \div -8.3$. The number of photons capable of ionizing hydrogen, emitted by such a star, is sufficient to account for the observed intensities of the Balmer lines.

3.3. The Evolutionary State of the Binary Components of Object X

The hot star luminosity ($\log L \sim 4.9 \div 5.2$) and effective temperature ($\log T_{\rm h} \sim 4.51$–4.65) suggest that it is still on or close to the ZAMS. The evolutionary tracks and isochrones for massive stars (e.g. Brott et al. 2011) suggest that the hot star mass is about 20–30 $\rm M_{\odot}$, and that its age is at most 2–3 Myr. Khan et al. (2011) demonstrated that the infrared SED of Object X can be interpreted in terms of a dust-obscured, massive, $\gtrsim 30\,\rm M_{\odot}$, evolved star, presumably a yellow/red hypergiant. Their finding is consistent with our result since the more evolved component should be also the more massive one.

The average radial velocity of Object X derived from emission lines, $v_{\rm r} = -113\,\rm km\,s^{-1}$ is redshifted with respect to the mean radial velocity of M33. It is also in excellent agreement with the mean velocity of hydrogen gas at the galactic position of Object X, viz. $-105 \div -112\,\rm km\,s^{-1}$ (Rogstad et al. 1976). This means that this velocity shift is due to the galactic rotation, and the system lies close to the galactic disk plane. The maximum reddening internal to M33 is of $E_{\rm B-V} \sim 1.2$ mag (Khan et al. 2011). Thus the reddening of $E_{\rm B-V} = 0.92$ we estimated for Object X is likely of interstellar origin.

3.4. Object X and D-type Symbiotic Stars

The binary nature of Object X is not surprising given that the binarity and multiplicity fraction in high-mass stars seems to be of the order of 100 % (e.g Mason et al. 2009). What

is particularly interesting in the case of Object X is the simultaneous presence of a hot luminous star and the very luminous, and presumably evolved component embedded in an optically thick dust shell. Such a binary configuration is reminiscent in some ways of the well known D-type symbiotic stars.

Symbiotic binary stars (SySt) are cool, evolved giants paired with a luminous, hot companion. That companion is often, but not always a white dwarf star. The ionized, dense nebula that surrounds the binary system emanates from the red giant. Two subclasses of SySt arise from the different kinds of red giants in these binaries. S - type (for "stellar") SySts' cool stars are ordinary red giants. The orbital periods of S -type SySt are typically a few years (Mikołajewska 2012). D - type (for "dusty") SySts' cool stars are Mira variables embedded in warm dust (Whitelock 1987); their orbital periods are decades or longer (e.g. Gromadzki & Mikołajewska 2009).

Both in D-type SySt, and Object X, the hot star seems to be located outside the dust shell. In Object X, the reddening towards the emission line region and the hot star is presumably due to foreground reddening in M33. Similarly, in D-type SySt the reddening towards the Mira component is usually much higher than towards the nebula, and the hot continuum (e.g. Mikołajewska et al. 1999). According to Khan et al. (2011) the outer radius of the Object X dust shell is of order of 5×10^{16} cm (their cool star model). Adopting this as a minimum binary separation, the binary period must be $\gtrsim 30\,000$ yrs, with a total binary mass of $\gtrsim 50\,M_\odot$. There is, however, no evidence for any binary interaction in Object X (though even if there is accretion in the binary it will contribute little to the observed luminosity). There is also no significant density gradient in Object X. In particular, the density sensitive ratio of [S II] 6716/6731=1.3 indicates $n_e \approx 100\,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$, which is typical for an H II region but 1–2 orders of mag lower than the densities in the outermost regions of D-type SySt nebulae. There are also no detectable [O III], [S III], and [N II] auroral

lines or any other signatures of higher, $n_{\rm e} \sim 10^5$–$10^6 {\rm cm}^{-3}$, density regions in Object X. Such high-density regions are observed in D-type SySt, which also show a relationship between nebular density and temperature, and IP; both $n_{\rm e}$ and $T_{\rm e}$ increase with IP (e.g. Schmid & Schild 1990).

Both Object X and D-type SySt during a high dust-obscuration phase show very similar near to mid-IR colors, presumably because of similar dust temperatures. In particular, Gromadzki et al. (2009) demonstrated that the dust-obscured O-rich symbiotic Miras are located along the line representing a simple model of a cool star (with $T_{\rm eff} = 2750$) inside a warm ($\sim 800\,{\rm K}$) dust shell of variable optical thickness. Object X does NOT show any signatures of a cool star (like e.g. molecular absorption bands), however its near-IR colors locate it on this model line somewhat above the most obscured SySt, with $A_{\rm V} \sim 15$. Even a very luminous star, with such a high optical extinction, would not be detectable in the range covered by our spectroscopic observation. Similarly, there is no evidence for the Mira component in optical/red spectra of dust-obscured SySt.

The most remarkable property of Object X remains its total luminosity, viz. absolute magnitude $M_{\rm K} = -11.2$. That of the most luminous D-type SySt, like e.g. RX Pup, is 10 times fainter, at $M_{\rm K} = -8.7$ (Mikołajewska et al. 1999). We conclude that Object X is the most luminous SySt yet discovered.

Finally, the binary composition of Object X, a cool hypergiant with an O/B star companion, bears some resemblance to the VV Cep-type stars, a small class of massive binaries. VV Cep stars are composed of a bright red supergiant and an early B main-sequence star, and display emission lines of H I and [Fe II], and occasionally also [Fe III] and [O III] (e.g. Cowley 1969). Although VV Cep stars do not show any evidence for the presence of dust shells, one can imagine that if their M-type supergiant component were to be enshrouded in such a thick dust cloud, they would appear very similar to Object X.

4. Conclusions

We presented and discussed the first optical spectrum of the most luminous infrared star in M33. The main conclusions are as follows.

1. The star is clearly composite, with a hot, luminous O/B star component, and a cool, dust-enshrouded companion.

2. The hot star's temperature lies in the range $35\,000 \lesssim T_{\rm h} \lesssim 45\,000$ K and its luminosity is $M_{\rm bol}$ = -7.6 to -8.3.

3. The emission-line spectrum and total system $M_{\rm K}$ = -11.2 establishes Object X as the most luminous symbiotic binary detected to date.

4. The radial velocity of Object X is an excellent match to that of the hydrogen gas in the disk of M33, supporting our interpretation of Object X as a very young and massive binary star.

5. Acknowledgments

This study has been supported in part by the Polish NCN grant DEC-2013/10/M/ST9/00086. We gratefully acknowledge the fine support at the MMT Observatory, and The Local Group Galaxy Survey conducted at NOAO by Phil Massey and collaborators. This research used the facilities of the Canadian Astronomy Data Centre operated by the National Research Council of Canada with the support of the Canadian Space Agency. We thank Michael Schwartz and Paulo Holvorcem for support in getting recent Tenagra images of Object X, and Dave Zurek for support in accessing the LGGS archival images. We also thank Scott Kenyon for pointing out the similarity between Object X and the VV Cep stars.



Fig. 1.— I-band images of the region near Object X (circled in the figure) obtained on Feb 18, 2001 (left) and on Oct 29, 2014 (right). Comparison with objects of similar brightness to the west and southwest (see text) demonstrate that Object X has not brightened significantly during this time.



Fig. 2.— (top) The spectrum of Object X observed in September 2014. (bottom) The same spectrum dereddened with $E_{\rm B-V} = 0.92$ (see text). The green and red lines represent the Kurucz model atmosphere spectra with $T_{\rm eff} = 35\,000$ K, and 45 000 K, respectively.

REFERENCES

Bessell, M.S., 1990, PASP, 102, 118

Bonanos, A. Z., Massa, D. L., Sewilo, M., et al. 2009, AJ, 138, 1003

Bonanos, A. Z., Lennon, D. J., Köhlinger, F., et al. 2010, AJ, 140, 416

Brott, I., deMink S. E., Cantiello, M. et al., 2011, A&A 530, A115

Caldwell, N., Harding, P., Morrison, H., et al. 2009, AJ, 137, 94

Canto, J., 1981, in Investigating the universe, ASSL 91, 95

Cardelli, J.A., Clayton, G.C., and Mathis, J.S., 1989, ApJ, 345, 245

Cowley, A.P., 1969, PASP, 81, 481

Cuillandre, J.-C., Luppino, G. A., Starr, B. M., and Isani, S. 2000, Proc. SPIE, 4008, 1010

Fabricant D., Fata, R., Roll, J., et al., 2005, PASP, 117, 1411

Gal-Yam, A., Leonard, D. C., Fox, D. B., et al. 2007, ApJ, 656, 372

Gerke, J. R., and Kochanek, C. S. 2013, ApJ, 762, 64

Gieren, W., Górski, M., Pietrzyński, G., et al. 2013, ApJ, 773, 69

Gromadzki, M., and Mikołajewska, J., 2009, A&A, 495, 931

Gromadzki, M., Mikołajewska, J., Whitelock, P., and Marang, F., 2009, Acta Astr. 59, 169

Hartman, J. D., Bersier, D., Stanek, K. Z., et al. 2006, MNRAS, 371, 1405

Hoopes, C. G., and Walterbos, R. M., 2003, ApJ, 586, 902

Kaler, J. B. 1978, ApJ, 220, 887

Khan, R., Stanek, K. Z., Prieto, J. L., et al. 2010, ApJ, 715, 1094

Khan, R., Stanek, K. Z., Kochanek, C. S., and Bonanos, A. Z. 2011, ApJ, 732, 43

Kurucz, R., 1979, ApJS, 40, 1

Mason, B.D., Hartkopf, W.I. Gies, D.R., et al, 2009, AJ 137, 3358

Massey, P., Olsen, K. A. G., Hodge, P. W., Strong, S. B., Jacoby, G. H.; Schlingman, W., and Smith, R. C., 2006, AJ, 131, 2478

Massey, P., McNeill, R. T., Olsen, K. A. G., Hodge, P. W., Blaha, C., Jacoby, G. H., Smith, R. C., and Strong, S. B. 2007, AJ, 134, 2474

Mikołajewska, J., Brandi, E., Hack, W., et al. 1999, MNRAS, 305, 190

Mikołajewska J., 2012, Balt. Astronomy, 21, 5

Misselt, K.A., Clayton, G.C.and, Gordon, K.D., 1999, ApJ, 515, 128

Mürset, U., and Nussbaumer, H. 1994, A&A, 282, 586

Prieto, J. L., Kistler, M. D., Thompson, T. A., et al. 2008, ApJ, 681, L9

Rogstad, D.H., Wright, M.C.H., and Lockhart, I.A., 1976, ApJ, 204, 703

Schmid H. M., and Schild H., 1990, MNRAS 246, 84

Smith, N., Chornock, R., Li, W., et al. 2008, ApJ, 686, 467

Stetson, P. B. 1992, in ASP Conf. Ser. 25, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems I, ed. D. M. Worrall, C. Biemesderfer, and J. Barnes (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 297

Thompson, T. A., Prieto, J. L., Stanek, K. Z., et al. 2009, ApJ, 705, 1364

Whitelock P.A, 1987, PASP, 99, 573

This manuscript was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.